

All seven steps that virologists take to claim a virus, they refuse to adhere to the most important scientific duty, the verification of their methods

(Please check back as new content will get added to this document)

7 ways to prove viruses don't exist with Dr Stefan Lanka

In all seven steps that virologists take to claim a virus, they refuse to adhere to the most important scientific duty, the verification of their methods: They never document control experiments! For this reason alone, statements by virologists claiming that viruses cause disease should never be considered scientific.

https://odysee.com/@oliverjanich:b/Interview-Lanka-englisch_kompr:c

If you read the short methods and materials section of the supposedly scientific publications of the virologists, you will see that the virologists have refuted themselves with their explanations of the seven steps.

The ruling in the measles virus trial from 2012 to 2017 contains a meaning that goes beyond the measles compulsory vaccination: This jurisprudence removes the basis of the entire virology.

CPE - Control Experiment - 21 April 2021 - English version 4/23/21

Dr Stefan Lanka, a marine & molecular biologist presents some results from the recent control experiments that have been made in regards to the cytopathic effect which "virologists" look for in their experiments that attempt to prove the existence of "viruses". These results refute virology. This is just one control experiment of many where the results will later be published in a scientific journal.

It's time to write off "virology" as another failed hypothesis in the history of science.

<https://odysee.com/@DeansDanes:1/cpe-english:f>

Dr. Stefan Lanka, Virologist interview with Health Freedom 4/23/21

Leave everything you once thought you knew about viruses and disease at the door. Stefan Lanka gives a comprehensive history of virology and why one of the most important points to stress moving forward in our history is that viruses have never been isolated, are not contagious and do not cause disease.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K-KRK50s3b4>

Dismantling the virus theory The "measles virus" as an example


<https://wissenschaftplus.de/uploads/article/Dismantling-the-Virus-Theory.pdf>

The 7 steps to prove viruses do not exist:

1. Virologists interpret the death of cells in the laboratory as viral. Due to the lack of control attempts (experiments), they overlook the fact

that they kill the cells in the laboratory themselves and unintentionally by starving and poisoning the cells. This misinterpretation is based on a single publication by John Franklin Enders and a colleague from June 1, 1954. This publication was ruled by the highest court in Germany in the measles virus trial that it contained no evidence of a virus. This publication became the exclusive basis not only for measles virology, but for all virology since 1954 and corona hysteria.

Illustration:



2. Virologists mentally assemble the shortest pieces of so-called genetic information from dying cells to form a very long genetic strand, which they output as the genetic strand of a virus. This conceptual / computational process is called alignment. In doing so, they did not make the control attempts, the attempt to conceptually / computationally construct the desired genetic strand even from short pieces of so-called genetic information from non-infected sources.

Illustration:

2. Share the Knowledge - End of corona crisis!

Experiment with alleged infectious material


Control Experiment without alleged infectious material

laboratory healthy cell

The result is the same. The alleged complete genome is obtained by merging short genetic sequences of monkey cells into one long strand. There is no "virus". The tiny parts of the monkey cells are connected to each other by a computer program and misinterpreted as a "virus".

3. For the alignment of a virus, virologists always need a given genetic strand of a virus. For this, however, they always use a genetically / computationally generated genetic strand and never a real one, one found in reality. In doing so, they never attempt to check whether or not so-called genetic information could also be constructed from the existing data set, including "viral" genetic material strands of completely different viruses.

Illustration:



4. Virologists have never seen or isolated “viruses” in humans, animals, plants or their fluids. They only did it seemingly, indirectly, and only ever by means of very special and artificial cell systems in the laboratory. They never mentioned the control attempts or documented whether they succeeded in depicting and isolating viruses in and from humans, animals, plants or their fluids.

Illustration:

4. Share the Knowledge - The End of the Corona Crisis

The illustration features a man in a dark suit and white shirt, smiling. He is holding a small cow in his right hand and a basket of fruit in his left hand. Large red question marks are overlaid on the image. On the left side, text asks: 'There is no isolation of the alleged virus from humans, animals, plants.' On the right side, text asks: 'What do virologists do? Virologists mix monkey cells with human cells and fluids, and only then observe what is happening.' A logo for 'Igson Negrin' is in the top right corner.

5. Virologists have never isolated, biochemically characterized or obtained their supposed genetic material from the supposed viruses that they photograph using electron microscope images. They have never conducted or published control experiments as to whether, after isolating these structures, it was actually possible to detect “viral” proteins (the envelope of the virus) and, above all, the viral genome, which is supposed to be the central component and characteristic of a virus.

Illustration:


5. Share the Knowledge - The End of the Corona Crisis



Virologists observe only the mixture under a microscope.



Virologists do not observe suspicious small particles isolated from everything else under a microscope.



Virologists do not do biochemical characterization, logically, because they did not isolate suspicious particles.



Igson Negrin

6. Virologists report typical artifacts of dying tissue / cells and typical structures that arise when the cell's own components such as proteins, fats and the solvents used are swirled, as viruses or viral components. Here, too, there are no control experiments with cells / tissues that were not infected but were also treated.


Illustration:

6. Share the Knowledge - The End of the Corona Crisis

Suspicious particles from the mixture are:

- Extracellular Particles (eg exosomes)
- Micelles
- Microvilli

- Micelles
- Exosomes
- Microvilli



7. The so-called transmission attempts that virologists make to prove the transmission and pathogenicity of the suspected viruses refute the entire virology. Obviously, it is the experiments themselves that trigger the symptoms, which animal experiments provide as evidence of the existence and effectiveness of the suspected viruses. Here, too, there are no control attempts in which exactly the same thing is done, only with non-infected or sterilized materials.

Illustration: warning disturbing video.....

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eJYj4G5qo_E

Please inform all relevant institutions in YOUR COUNTRY of these scientific facts. Ask them to inform all their employees. Ask your institutions to tell you if they have checked all of the above and informed their employees. Ask them to answer you as soon as possible. Give them SEVEN DAYS.

Try to understand this text, and YOU can write it in YOUR OWN words.

(SOURCE: translated from German and modified for citizens who are not citizens of the state of Germany.

<https://rotekartefuercorona.de/drei-rote-karten.php>

Pdf link to the 7 steps

<https://wissenschaftplus.de/uploads/article/wissenschaftplus-virologists.pdf>

Corona receives Three red cards



Tell your authorities that vaccination against alleged sars-cov-2 has no scientific basis. Together we make the house of cards coincide - show the authorities the red card

There is no emperor just his clothes - How to protect yourself and others

All Corona measures are based on the Infection Protection Act (IfSG). In §1, the IfSG demands the scientific nature of all those involved, including those of the virologists who claim the existence of the

coronavirus SARS-CoV-2.

The virologists are clearly anti-scientific and have refuted themselves, which is why the IfSG is not fulfilled, but violated and therefore the legal basis for all corona measures is withdrawn.

In all seven steps that virologists take to claim a virus, they refuse to comply with the most important scientific duty, the verification of their methods: They never document control experiments! For this reason alone, statements by virologists claiming that viruses cause illness should never be considered scientific.

If you read the short methods and materials section of the supposedly scientific publications of the virologists, you will see that the virologists have refuted themselves with their explanations of the seven steps. The ruling in the measles virus trial from 2012 to 2017 contains a meaning that goes beyond the measles compulsory vaccination: This jurisprudence removes the basis of the entire virology.

This is exciting news for humanity! The end of virology & vaccines is coming soon!

The complete fraudulent virology will soon be unmasked on the example of the computer conversion of parts of the alleged HIV into alleged Sars-cov-2. The end of the scam!

An example of creating the genome of the alleged sars-cov-2 from the sequences of the alleged HIV will destroy the complete fraudulent virology. SOON!

The point is that parts of alleged HIV cannot be parts of alleged Coronavirus at the same time. But that is exactly the case!

WISSEN PLUS
SCHAFFT W+

"As soon as I get hold of original sequence data which was produced for HIV, I am going to produce you coronavirus. Definitely! And this is going to be the definitely experimental disproval of virology."

HIV sequences → Alignment → Sars-cov-2 Template

https://youtu.be/nwnVYhrjE_4?t=2824

Igson Negrin


You all know that virologists do not isolate an alleged “viral” particle because it does not exist. Here's another piece of evidence: Virologists are rapidly moving to bizarre collections of genetic material after a procedure of mixing or contamination with animal RNA. The total RNA is extracted not from the alleged viral particle, which would be logical, but from BALF or CC - supernatant. Now let's look at what the scientific publication that is the basis of the corona scandal says:

"Bronchoalveolar-lavage fluid samples were collected in sterile cups to which virus transport medium was added."

"VIRAL GENOME SEQUENCING

RNA extracted from bronchoalveolar-lavage fluid and culture supernatants was used as a template to clone and sequence the genome."

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2001017>



Don't lose hope!
 The Sars-Cov-2 genome does not exist!
 No genome means no virus!
 Detailed scientific evidence
 will be published
This year!



Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) is one of the sources of genetic contamination in studies that fraudulently allege to have "isolated SARS-COV-2". 4/2/21

Fetal Bovine Serum RNA Interferes with the Cell Culture derived Extracellular RNA

<https://www.nature.com/articles/srep31175>

Open Access | Published: 09 August 2016

Fetal Bovine Serum RNA Interferes with the Cell Culture derived Extracellular RNA

Zhiyun Wei, Arsen O. Batagov, David R. F. Carter & Anna M. Krichevsky

Scientific Reports **6**, Article number: 31175 (2016) | [Cite this article](#)


2851 Accesses | **65** Citations | **14** Altmetric | [Metrics](#)

Abstract

Fetal bovine serum (FBS) has been used in eukaryotic cell cultures for decades. However, little attention has been paid to the biological effects associated with RNA content of FBS on cell cultures. Here, using RNA sequencing, we demonstrate that FBS contains a diverse repertoire of protein-coding and regulatory RNA species, including mRNA, miRNA, rRNA and snoRNA. The majority of them (>70%) are retained even after extended ultracentrifugation in the preparations of vesicle-depleted FBS (vdFBS) commonly utilized in the studies of extracellular vesicles (EV) and intercellular communication. FBS-associated RNA is co-isolated with cell-culture derived extracellular RNA (exRNA) and interferes with the downstream RNA analysis. Many evolutionally conserved FBS-derived RNA species can be falsely annotated as human or mouse transcripts. Notably, specific miRNAs abundant in FBS, such as miR-122, miR-451a and miR-1246, have been previously reported as enriched in cell-culture derived EVs, possibly due to the confounding effect of the FBS. Analysis of publically available exRNA datasets supports the notion of FBS contamination. Furthermore, FBS transcripts can be taken up by cultured cells and affect the results of highly sensitive gene expression profiling technologies. Therefore, precautions for experimental design are warranted to minimize the interference and misinterpretations caused by FBS-derived RNA.

And see the ingredients in the CDC's SOP#: DSR-052-05 for "viral transport medium", which patient samples are often stored in before even getting to a lab for a useless PCR test, or fraudulent "isolation" procedures.

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/Viral-Transport-Medium.pdf>



Here is the measles control experiment that has been robot translated. Important to note that this is written by the head of one of the 2 independent laboratories that made the experiments.
4/2/21

The experiments of Enders on the Track

<https://truthseeker.se/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/Measles-Control-Experiment-by-the-head-of-an-independent-laboratory-in-Germany.pdf>

the results

Depending on the non-viral and non-infectious substances added, changes in the cell morphology could be observed at different times, which since 1954 has been equated with the "isolation" of the "measles virus". Especially after the addition of high concentrations of penicillin / streptomycin (20%) or cultivation under deficient conditions (1% FCS), changes in the cell morphology were found that were microscopically identical to the syncytia formation described by the measles virus (Figure 1).


The studies have clearly shown that syncytia formation is not specific for measles infection. Thus, the forgotten observations by both Enders & Peebles and Bech & von Magnus were confirmed and the assumption that Enders & Peebles and successors had proven the existence of a virus with this technique was refuted.

A LACK OF PROPER CONTROLS

All cell culture experiments done by "virologists" are done without proper controls that controls for every variable in the experiment. When proper controls have been done it has been shown that the results that "virologists" believe is proof of a "virus" is in fact caused by the use of antibiotics and the starvation of cells. This was proven by 2 independent laboratories during the measles trials in Germany.

Syncytial Degeneration is in controle culture!

Enders did not conduct a control experiment.



Virologists are cell killers!

Lab cells were damaged by experimental conditions.

No alleged virus is required.

John Franklin Enders was an American biomedical scientist and Nobel Laureate. Enders has been called "The Father of Modern Vaccines." 4/4/21

a) His experiment with viruses - Syncytial Degeneration is the result of the action of the virus.

b) Control experiment made by 2 labs in Germany: Syncytial Degeneration is in control culture! laboratory cells were damaged by laboratory cells. No alleged virus is required. Enders did not conduct a control experiment!

Publication:

Propagation in Tissue Cultures of Cytopathogenic Agents From Patients With Measles. John F. Enders, Thomas C. Peebles.

Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine, Volume: 86 issue: 2, page(s): 277-286


Issue published: June 1, 1954

<https://thdrussell.blogspot.com/2020/09/open-appeal-to-virologists-from.html>

Misinterpretation of virus / 5th part /



In this experiment, syncytial degeneration is due to the action of the virus.



In the control experiment, the same syncytial degeneration is a consequence of the experimental conditions, no alleged virus is needed!

syncytial degeneration: large cytoplasmic masses that contain many nuclei.



Dr. Tom Cowan discusses with Dr. Stefan Lanka false virus theory

45:52 Control Experiments for "corona"SOON

https://youtu.be/nwnVYhrjE_4?t=2749

Biology after Hamer - Part 1 4/5/21

Renowned molecular biologist and virologist Dr. Stefan Lanka in discussion with Ilse Laker of the GNM Institute

<https://www.gnmonlineseminars.com/biology-after-hamer-part-1/>

Part 2 of conversation between Dr Stefan Lanka and Canadian German New Medicine therapist, Ilse Laker 4/15/21

https://odysee.com/@GNM_Institute:d/LankaPt2:e

The genome sequence
of the alleged
Sars-Cov-2
is not
a reflection of reality
but a software-mathematical
model of probability.
All the measures of
the Corona Scandal
are not based
on reality!

Wed Apr 7th, 2021



Igson Negrin

*NEW EVIDENCE: Released most wanted data from the trial in Germany! 4/5/21

- The genome of the alleged virus is a computer probability
- The computer genome is not evidence of a pathogen
- No genome means no virus

Viruses do not exist.

A court record from the Ravensburg regional court dated March 12, 2015

states on page 5

the genome sequence is no evidence of a pathogen, as it is only a computer model with certain probability values



The expert appraiser of the court, Prof. Dr. med. Dr. rer. nat. Andreas Podbielski, Director of the Institute for Medical Microbiology, Virology and Hygiene at the Rostock University Medical Center

ILLUMINA 1: New evidence and insight into deception. This is the simplest explanation and proof of deception. Why is there no genome sequence of the alleged virus? (No genome sequence means no virus): 4/5/21

source: "In total, we generated 56,565,928 sequence reads"

link for source: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2008-3>

FRAGMENTS

56M

Igson Negrin

GENOME SEQUENCE

Illumina


Illumina 1

A covalent bond is formed between an oxygen atom and a phosphorus atom. We cannot know exactly which phosphorus atom was attached to which oxygen atom. This means that we cannot know the sequence of the entire alleged genome if we have 56 million fragments of the alleged genome. This means that the term "viral genome" is a scientific deception and has nothing to do with reality.

ILLUMINA 2: New evidence and insight into deception. This is the simplest explanation and proof of deception. Why is there no genome sequence of the alleged virus? (No genome sequence means no virus): 4/5/21

source: "In total, we generated 56,565,928 sequence reads"

link for source: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2008-3>



Scientific evidence has been published:

- 2 Laboratories in Germany
- Virologists are fraudsters in Science
- There is no viruses at all

<https://www.facebook.com/johnblaid/posts/4525523534129831>

<https://www.facebook.com/controlexperiment/posts/280490260405793>

<https://wissenschaftplus.de/uploads/article/wissenschaftplus-virologists.pdf>

Scientific evidence has been published:

 **2 Laboratories in** 
Germany!

There is no viruses at all!

<https://www.facebook.com/controlexperiment/posts/280499947071491>
<https://www.facebook.com/controlexperiment/posts/280490260405793>

Corona, real danger or irrational fear?

1. There is NO SCIENTIFIC evidence for a virus.
2. There is NO SCIENTIFIC basis for the PCR test or other test procedures.
3. There is NO SCIENTIFIC basis for vaccinations.

<https://youtu.be/JHiEbgPSAXw?t=1148>



Alignment CONTROL experiment DONE! We are getting closer to the end of fraudulent virology.

So, alignment with the alleged HIV template, the alleged Ebola template, the alleged measles template is successful:

"And I can tell you! It works!"

We expect documentation for this claim! Everyone will be able to conduct this control experiment in a computer and prove the fraudulent methodology of virology, that is. fraudulent virology.

https://odysee.com/@oliverjanich:b/Interview-Lanka-englisch_kompr:c

<https://t.me/oliverjanich/57528>

<https://www.oliverjanich.de/7-ways-to-prove-that-viruses-do-not-exist-interview-with-dr-stefan-lanka>

Dr Stefan Lanka - Virus and PCR - Part One

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yI9Mxu38phU&feature=youtu.be>

Dr. Stefan Lanka - Virus and PCR - Part Two 2/28/21

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=em-9Pfq8SNM&feature=youtu.be>

Misinterpretation virus III Corona simple and understandable 3/25/21

<https://ia801400.us.archive.org/28/items/fehldeutung-virus-corona-teill-iii/Misinterpretation%20of%20the%20virus%20III.pdf>

Interview with Dr. Stefan Lanka, Molecular & Marine Biologist by the German Magazine "Die Wurzel (the root)." 2/25/21

<https://telegra.ph/How-dead-are-viruses-actually-09-04>

Virologists who claim disease-causing viruses are science fraudsters and must be prosecuted

<https://wissenschaftplus.de/uploads/article/wissenschaftplus-virologists.pdf>

Control Experiments individual posts

<https://www.facebook.com/controlexperiment>

Share the knowledge - The end of the corona scandal!

The New Nuremberg trial is approaching!

After all the presented and available scientific data, it can be concluded that virologists who claim that there are viruses that cause diseases are primarily responsible for misinterpreting reality and causing fear, panic without a real exact scientific basis. Such virologists are responsible for creating unrealistically complicated software-mathematical models that are not a reflection of reality but a pseudo-scientific basis for creating fear and panic. More and more people around the world are becoming aware of the anti-scientific work of virologists. The New Nuremberg trial is approaching.

The fastest way to find all the scientific evidence is here:

<https://t.co/U431QN8Xqm?amp=1>

Production: <https://twitter.com/AngeliFrankie>

Illustration: <https://www.facebook.com/igson.negrin/>

STUDIES ON MEASLES VIRUS IN MONKEY KIDNEY TISSUE CULTURES read pp 80

<https://therulingclassobserver.files.wordpress.com/2020/06/bech-von-magnus-1959-1.pdf>

In the five-year “measles virus trial”, the Federal Court of Justice in Karlsruhe confirmed the sensational judgment of the Stuttgart Higher Regional Court of February 16, 2016 on December 1st, 2016. From December 1, 2016, the highest court rulings in Germany stipulate that all claims about the infection of measles, measles vaccinations and the measles virus have no scientific basis. The reasons for the judgment, confirmed by the highest court, include the clear naming of facts that refute not only all claims about the infection of measles, measles vaccinations and the measles virus, but also about all so-called “disease-causing viruses” and vaccinations.

<https://quantisana.ch/gesundheit-neu-denken/expertenberichte/der-bundesgerichtshof-laesst-den-glauben-an-die-viren-untergehen/>

On January 16, 2017, the First Civil Senate of the German Federal Court of Justice (BGH) confirmed the ruling of the OLG Stuttgart.

<https://learninggnm.com/SBS/documents/virus-trial.html>

Critics of the judicial verdict argue that Dr. Lanka’s victory is solely based on how he had formulated the offer of reward, namely to pay the € 100,000 for the presentation of a single publication of evidence (which Dr. Bardens was unable to provide). This argument, however, distracts the attention from the essential points.

According to the [minutes of the court proceedings](#) (page 7/ first paragraph), Andreas Podbielski, head of the Department of Medical Microbiology, Virology and Hygiene at the University Hospital in Rostock, who was one of the appointed experts at the trial, stated that even though the existence of the measles virus could be concluded from the summary of the six papers submitted by Dr. Bardens, none of the authors had conducted any controlled experiments in accordance with internationally defined rules and principles of good scientific practice (see also the method of [“indirect evidence”](#)). Professor Podbielski considers this lack of control experiments explicitly as a “methodological weakness” of these publications, which are after all the relevant studies on the subject (there are no other publications trying to attempt to prove the existence of the “measles virus”). Thus, at this point, a publication about the existence of the measles virus that stands the test of good science has yet to be delivered.

Furthermore, at the trial it was noted that contrary to its legal remit as per § 4 Infection Protection Act (IfSG) the Robert Koch Institute (RKI), the highest German authority in the field of infectious diseases, has failed to perform tests for the alleged measles virus and to publish these. The RKI claims that it made internal studies on the measles virus, however, refuses to hand over or publish the results.

Dr. Lanka: “With the Supreme Court judgment in the measles virus trial any national and international statements on the alleged measles virus, the infectivity of measles, and on the benefit and safety of vaccination against measles, are since then of no scientific character and have thus been deprived of their legal basis.”

German Supreme Court Rules Measles not proven to Exist Dr. Stefan Lanka

https://lrbw.juris.de/cgi-bin/laender_rechtsprechung/document.py?Gericht=bw&GerichtAuswahl=Oberlandesgerichte&Art=en&sid=46bf3db2df690aba6e4874acafaf45b6&nr=20705&pos=0&anz=1

Video examining court transcripts from case involving microbiologist Stefan Lanka

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=skvjUh2y3UU&feature=youtu.be>

Dr. Lanka & Dr. Krafeld debunked the AIDS, HIV, Virus & Germ theory to be a complete treason

<http://whale.to/v/lanka3.html>

The Scientific Undoing of Germ Theory Dr. Stefan Lanka

<http://208.106.235.179/blog/index.php/all-natural-organic-whole-live-foods/the-scientific-undoing-of-germ-theory/>

Statement On Virus Isolation (SOVI) Dr. Thomas Cowan, MD, Dr. Andrew Kaufman, MD 4/6/21

<https://andrewkaufmanmd.com/sovi>

From now on, when anyone gives you a paper that suggests the SARS-CoV-2 virus has been isolated, please check the methods sections. If

the researchers used Vero cells or any other culture method, you know that their process was not isolation. You will hear the following excuses for why actual isolation isn't done:

1. There were not enough virus particles found in samples from patients to analyze.
2. Viruses are intracellular parasites; they can't be found outside the cell in this manner.

If No. 1 is correct, and we can't find the virus in the sputum of sick people, then on what evidence do we think the virus is dangerous or even lethal? If No. 2 is correct, then how is the virus spread from person to person? We are told it emerges from the cell to infect others. Then why isn't it possible to find it?

Finally, questioning these virology techniques and conclusions is not some distraction or divisive issue. Shining the light on this truth is essential to stop this terrible fraud that humanity is confronting. For, as we now know, if the virus has never been isolated, sequenced or shown to cause illness, if the virus is imaginary, then why are we wearing masks, social distancing and putting the whole world into prison?

Finally, if pathogenic viruses don't exist, then what is going into those injectable devices erroneously called "vaccines," and what is their purpose? This scientific question is the most urgent and relevant one of our time.

We are correct. The SARS-CoV2 virus does not exist.

New Insights: The Real Genome Doesn't Exist! 4/8/21

Interview with 3D artist, hacktivist, bioinformatician and "Drosten

whistleblower” Bobby Rajesh Malhotra

What is a theoretical construct called the “sars-cov-2” genome? It is an anti-realistic creation. Fiction. A totally irrelevant theoretical story. When inventing a genome that is not a reflection of reality, so-called “in silico” production is used. This means fabrication and production in theory: conducted or produced by means of computer modeling or computer simulation. Is it okay to imprison people and restrict freedom of movement, and conduct experiments on people because of crazy fantasies? We know the answer: New Nuremberg Trial - Don't lose hope! More evidence and insights follow for the total destruction of the fairy tale called “sars-cov-2”

<https://ayavela.medium.com/we-are-in-the-middle-of-an-information-war-9ae376cfbcf9>

CORONA FRAUD 2021 - PROJECT IGSONICUS 4/8/21

After all the presented and available scientific data, it can be concluded that virologists who claim that there are viruses that cause diseases are primarily responsible for misinterpreting reality and causing fear, panic without a real exact scientific basis. Such virologists are responsible for creating unrealistically complicated software-mathematical models that are not a reflection of reality but a pseudo-scientific basis for creating fear and panic. More and more people around the world are becoming aware of the anti-scientific work of virologists. The New Nuremberg trial is approaching.

<https://odysee.com/@provetrust:0/corona-fraud-2021:d>

New Insights! Another virologist scam has been revealed: 4/9/21

Virologists should not use the following terms:


- whole genome sequence
- complete genome sequence

<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2008-3>

This is the fraudulent behavior of a virologist. The correct terminology is:

- whole genome puzzle
- complete genome puzzle

<https://twitter.com/BorgerPieter>



FOIs exposes fraud in science. /Covid-19 4/10/21

Collecting FOIs re- the never-isolated, never-sequenced purely

imaginary "virus / SARS-COV-2" blamed for the imaginary "pandemic" that's based entirely on fraud.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tdk87vpGjeM>

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification>

FOIs reveal that health/science institutions around the world have no record of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification, anywhere, ever.

Would a sane person mix a patient sample (containing various sources of genetic material and never proven to contain any particular virus) with transfected monkey kidney cells, fetal bovine serum and toxic drugs, then claim that the resulting concoction is “SARS-COV-2 isolate” and ship it off internationally for use in critical research (including vaccine and test development)?

Because that’s the sort of fraudulent monkey business that’s being passed off as “virus isolation” by research teams around the world.

Just 1 of many examples is shown below – this is from [a study cited by the Australian Department of Health](#) as a paper “*which led to the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 in culture*”. (Can you spot the oxymoron in that quote?)

2.1 Cell culture of SARS-CoV-2 and electron microscopy

Vero/hSLAM cells (African green monkey kidney cells transfected to express the human signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM; also known as CDw150)¹ were grown at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in maintenance media consisting of 10mL Earle's minimum essential medium (EMEM), 7% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bovogen Biologicals, Keilor East, AUS) 2mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1500mg/L sodium bicarbonate, 15 mM HEPES and 0.4mg/ml geneticin to 95% confluency in 25cm² flasks. Prior to use for isolation, maintenance media was removed from the flask and 500µL of respiratory swab inoculum was overlaid on the cell monolayer. The flask was returned to the 37°C incubator to allow the virus to adsorb for 1 hour before addition of 10 mL viral culture media (EMEM as above but FBS reduced to 2%). Flasks were monitored for viral cytopathic effect and 140µL aliquots of supernatant removed every 48 hours to assess virus burden by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR. First passage culture grown virus isolate was subsequently shipped nationally and internationally in packaging compliant with UN 2814 Category A shipping requirements using credentialed, specialised courier services under the appropriate Australian government export approvals processes and receiving country import permissions.

If you are new to the topic of “virus isolation/purification”, I strongly recommend reading the Statement On Virus Isolation by Dr. Andrew Kaufman, Dr. Thomas Cowan and Sally Fallon Morell, MA.

<https://andrewkaufmanmd.com/sovi/>

A colleague in New Zealand ([Michael S.](#)) and I (CM) have been submitting Freedom of Information requests to institutions in various countries seeking records that describe the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus from any unadulterated sample taken from a diseased patient.

Our requests have not been limited to records of isolation performed by the respective institution, or limited to records authored by the respective institution, rather they were open to any records describing “COVID-19 virus” isolation/purification performed by anyone, ever, anywhere on the planet.

Thus far (February 21, 2021) 19 Canadian institutions have provided their responses: [Public Health Agency of Canada](#), [Health Canada](#), the [National Research Council of Canada](#), [Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre \(VIDO-InterVac\)](#), [Canadian Institutes of Health Research](#), [Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada](#), [Ontario Ministry of Health](#), [Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec](#), [British Columbia’s Provincial Health Services Authority](#), [Vancouver Coastal Health Authority](#) (re “the variant”), [Newfoundland Labrador Department of Health & Community Services](#), [McGill University](#), the [City of Toronto](#), the [Region of Peel](#) (Ontario), [KFL&A Public Health](#) (Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington, Ontario, re “any variant”), the [University of Toronto](#), [Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre](#), [McMaster University](#) and [Mount Sinai Hospital](#) (Toronto) (note that researchers from the last 4 institutions had publicly claimed to have “isolated the virus”, as had VIDO-Intervac).

Every institution has indicated the same: that they searched their records and located none describing the isolation of any “COVID-19 virus” directly from a patient sample that was not first adulterated with other sources of genetic material. (Those other sources are typically monkey kidney aka “Vero” cells and fetal bovine serum).. See more in the link .

Here are 3 compilation pdfs containing ~70 responses from 53

institutions in 11+ countries/jurisdictions re the isolation/purification/existence of "SARS-COV-2" last updated April 9, 2021 (note: some of these responses were obtained by FOI-submitters other than Michael S. and myself, as indicated further down this page):

Part 1: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/FOI-replies-SARS-COV-2-isolation-existence-causation-47-institutions-Feb-12-2021-chrono-part-1.pdf>

Part 2: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/FOI-replies-SARS-COV-2-isolation-existence-causation-47-institutions-Feb-12-2021-chrono-part-2.pdf>

Part 3: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/FOI-replies-SARS-COV-2-isolation-purification-existence-part-3-April-3.pdf>

"Nowadays, there is also the genome sequence. In principle, you can read the genome sequence like a book. With a very high degree of probability, statements can be made about how an organism with a certain genome sequence behaves and what characteristics it displays." 4/11/21


"When asked by the court whether it is possible to prove the causality of infection with a pathogen in this latter form exclusively on the basis of the genome sequence: NO, IT IS NOT POSSIBLE exclusively in this form. Here, one can only achieve computer models with correspondingly high probability values, but cannot bring about

direct proof."

"Following dictation up to this point, the expert adds of his own accord: We cannot, I must clarify, comprehensively infer the characteristics or behavior of an organism from the genome sequence alone. We can only determine structural features and predict with a greater or lesser degree of probability how the organism will behave in a certain way; even the genome sequence does not yet permit a comprehensive statement on overall behavior."

<https://www.e-wise.de/zahnmedizin/dozent/8729>

http://www.positivists.org/r/Landgericht_Ravensburg_Protokoll_2015-03-12.pdf



Nuremberg 2.0 4/11/21

"These are the greatest crimes against humanity ever. This is worse than what happened in World War II, and of course in World War I."


1. We're in an invisible war for our sovereignty.

2. Rich and powerful people are using biowarfare to control us.
3. Lockdowns, masks, social distancing, etc, have nothing to do with a virus.
4. COVID-19 - if it even exists - is as mild as flu.
5. Flawed PCR tests are the foundation of this fake pandemic.
6. The vaccine should be avoided.
7. Stop complying as much as possible.
8. Tell others to stop complying as much as possible.
9. Ignore the mainstream media.
10. Don't give up.

<https://jermwarfare.com/blog/reiner-fuellmich-who>

<https://rotekartefuercorona.de/> 4/11/21

What is happening in Germany? German citizens are asking key questions. The Robert Koch Institute's responses are scandalous and anti-scientific.



Red Card for Marion Koopmans due to anti-scientific behavior! 4/11/21



<https://twitter.com/MarionKoop.../status/1322246967137869824>

<https://twitter.com/BorgerPieter/status/1333521731487674369>

What are DNA vector vaccines? 4/13/21

<https://twitter.com/BorgerPieter/status/1380088350539927554>

Astra-Zeneca, but also Jansen Pharma & the Russian Sputnik V are DNA vector vaccines. But what are they and are they dangerous?

DNA vector vaccines are made of DNA viruses, usually Adenoviruses, but with the virulence genes removed.

I believe that there is a potential risk with these vaccines, namely the integration of the DNA vector into the genome. It is negligent to claim, as the EMA does, that the benefit of vaccination is greater than the risk without informing people of the existing long term risks.

It is generally believed that Adenoviruses are harmless to humans: at most, they cause a common cold.

In the DNA vector vaccines that are used to immunise against COVID19, the spike gene of the SARS-CoV-2 has been inserted into the genome of an Adenovirus in the form of DNA.

In this way, the "Spike" gene (DNA containing the information for the spike protein) can be introduced into a human cell and the spike protein can be produced. To understand how this works & where the dangers of DNA vector vaccines lie, we need to better understand this process.

An Adenovirus (on which these DNA vector vaccines are based) has to go to the nucleus of our cells in order to reproduce itself, because only there its DNA can be transcribed into mRNA. Unlike mRNA vaccines, DNA vector vaccines are designed to go to the nucleus on their own.

DNA vector vaccines are thus present in the same compartment as our own DNA.

Statistically speaking, Adenoviruses & the DNA vector vaccines based on them "do not" integrate into the genome. Nevertheless, this happens in a very small percentage: in 1 to 5 per thousand cells.

One per thousand may not seem much, but how many cells/cell nuclei are there in the muscle into which the DNA vector vaccine is injected? And in your body? After vaccination with a DNA vector vaccine, surely everyone has a genetically altered cell somewhere in their body.

Hopefully, the genetically altered cell will be recognised and sent into apoptosis (aborted). If not, over time unexpected neurological, immunological and metabolic abnormalities may occur due to a disturbed genetic context.

DNA vector vaccines have NEVER been tested for this!

We know that DNA virus vaccines integrate in the genome. Therefore, I strongly recommend against vaccination with a DNA vector vaccine. I myself would never voluntarily take these shots.

Doctors, who made the Hippocratic Oath, should read up on the potential dangers of DNA vector vaccines and reread that oath before they start vaccinating people...

For references watch:

1. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lok0uK4fPI>

2. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12109211/>

Places Without Reported "COVID-19" "Cases". 4/14/21



Turkmenistan has not officially acknowledged any "coronavirus" "cases", though its officials have restricted all commercial travel, mass religious events and encourage social distancing and mask wearing.

<https://www.usnews.com/news/best-countries/slideshows/countries-without-reported-covid-19-cases>

1 Million € for the scientific proof of the existence of a coronavirus, including the documented control attempts of all steps taken to provide evidence. 4/14/21

The WHO, Bill Gates, the Davos Forum, Angela Merkel, Christian Drosten and virologists around the world are not interested in getting 1 MILLION € to prove the existence of the virus. On the other hand, they are still interested in depopulation and the implementation of anti-scientific measures. That is why the New Nuremberg Tribunal is the final response of all the people of the world to crimes against humanity

<https://www.samueleckert.net/isolat-truth-fund/>

POWER PLANT - ENTRY INTO THE REFUTATION OF THE VIRUS

CLAIM 4/15/21


In our new format "Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 publications" we analyze and explain in detail the publications in which the claim was made that the detection of a disease-causing virus was successful. Since Corona_Fakten kept receiving inquiries about certain publications "because these or those pretended to have provided evidence", we decided to launch precisely this new format. We will take apart all relevant publications for you and prove why no new virus was detected. This gives you the opportunity to be able to argue even better and at the same time learn to expose publications that are not suitable for this evidence.

We have created this introductory article, which gives you the most important basics, so that you can better access what has been explained when reading our explanations for the respective publication. We have tried to keep this article as brief as possible, but still explain the key elements. With the 7 key points that virologists use to detect a virus, they have actually achieved the opposite of what they intended - on a scientific basis, they virtually refuted the virus existence claims. This article describes the following key elements in detail:


<https://www.samueleckert.net/machtwerk-wissenschaftliches-fehlverhalten-als-basis/>

What are BEVs? 4/14/21


Why is it important to separate BEVs from a mixture? In order not to misinterpret the experimental results. If we have an unknown bacteria that contains unknown genetic material, virologists should not present unknown bacterial RNA as part of non-existent "viruses".



In addition to exRNA, there is also exDNA



Virologists do not pay any attention to methods of isolating and purifying nanoparticles that contain genetic material such as bacterial nanoparticles, animal nanoparticles, and human particles.



Virologists never document control experiments for each part of their work. No control experiments means it is not scientific. Let's stop anti scientific behavior in virology. 4/16/21

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wgOwWopIKbk>



1.1 "Extraction of nucleic acids from clinical samples (including uninfected cultures that served as negative controls) was performed with a High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit, as described by the

manufacturer (Roche)" 4/19/21

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>

1.2 High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit

https://lifescience.roche.com/en_us/products/high-pure-viral-nucleic-acid-kit.html

1.3 Poly A ("carrier")

Chain Length 2.100 to 10.000 nucleotides

(Roche) <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/.../product/roche/10108626001>

Chain Length (2.???)100 to 10.000 nucleotides (Qiagen)

1.4

"The use of carrier RNA is not recommended during RNA purification for RNA-seq since it may interfere with your downstream application"


Barth, Benjamin. (2017). Re: Does carrier RNA in the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit effect downstream RNA-Seq analysis?. Retrieved from:

<https://www.researchgate.net/post/Does-carrier-RNA-in-the-QIAamp-Viral-RNA-Mini-Kit-effect-down-stream-RNA-Seq-analysis/59b30ef3dc332de228030e3f/citation/download>

SHARE THE KNOWLEDGE - RNA CARRIER

Nuremberg 2 Tribunal

The end of Corona Scandal



In order for RNA to precipitate, it must first be aggregated into a particle. However, this step will interfere with sequencing.



Why did virologists who are the authors of the Corona scandal use a KIT that does not support sequencing as the next step? 4/19/21

Purification method/Product	Starting material and quantity	Yield/recovery	Time required	PCR/long PCR	RT-PCR	DD-RT-PCR	cDNA synthesis/partner extension	RE digestion	Southern blotting	Labeling modifying reactions	Northern blotting	RNase protection assays	Cloning	Sequencing	in vitro transcription	in vitro translation	Transfection	Microarray Spotting
Ultrafiltration																		
High Pure 96 UF Cleanup Kit	PCR products (100 bp to > 10 kb), 20-300 µl	≈25 µl (≈150 bp, ≈40%, 1500 bp, ≈80%, 4500 bp, ≈90%, 8000 bp)	< 20 min															
Silica Adsorption																		
High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit*	blood, 200 - 300 µl cultured cells, 10 ⁷ - 10 ⁸ human tissue, 25 - 50 mg mouse tail, 25 - 50 mg yeast, 10 ⁷ cells bacteria, 10 ⁷ cells dried blood spots	3 - 9 µg 15 - 20 µg 5 - 20 µg 5 - 10 µg 10 - 15 µg 1 - 3 µg 8 mm punch-out	20 min 20 min 80 min, incl. lysis 200 min, incl. lysis 50 min, incl. lyticase digest 20 min, incl. lysozyme digest 80 min, incl. lysis															
High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit	PCR, modifying, labeling, restriction digestion reaction, 100 µl, agarose gel slices	>80% recovery of 5 - 25 µg DNA >100 bp	10 min															
High Pure PCR Cleanup Micro Kit	PCR, modifying, labeling, restriction digestion reaction, agarose gel slices	>80% recovery of 5 - 25 µg DNA	10 min															
High Pure Plasmid Isolation Kit*	<i>E. coli</i> XL1 blue, pUC 19 (2 ml) <i>E. coli</i> HB 101, pUC 19 (2 ml) <i>E. coli</i> DH5α, pUC 19 (2 ml)	12 µg 6 µg 3.5 µg	30 min 20 min 30 min															
High Pure RNA Isolation Kit*	blood, 200 - 500 µl cultured cells, 10 ⁷ yeast, 10 ⁷ cells bacteria, 10 ⁷ cells	sufficient for 10 RT-PCR reactions 20 µg 20 µg 35 - 50 µg	50 min, incl. RBC lysis 25 min 45 min, incl. lyticase digest 90 min, incl. lysozyme digest															
High Pure RNA Tissue Kit	solid tissue, 1 - 10 mg	0.5 - 3.0 µg/mg	30 min															
High Pure miRNA Isolation Kit	tissue, stabilized tissue, cell culture, FFPE tissue sections	depending on miRNA	30 min															
High Pure Viral RNA Kit	serum, plasma, urine, supernatant from cell culture, 200 - 600 µl	product detectable by RT-PCR	10 min															
High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit*	serum, plasma, blood, supernatant from cell culture, 200 - 600 µl	product detectable by PCR or RT-PCR	20 min															

Why did the authors of the Corona Scandal perform RNA extraction from bronchoalveolar-lavage fluid given that the manufacturer does not offer extraction from BAL fluid? 4/19/21

<https://lifescience.roche.com/content/dam/RMS/lifescience/Documents/PDF/Nucleic-Acid-Isolation-and-Purification-Product-Selection-Guide.pdf>

Purification Method/Product		Starting Material and Quantity
Ultrafiltration	High Pure 96 UF Cleanup Kit	PCR products (100 bp to > 10 kb), 20-300 µl
	High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit	blood, 200-300 µl cultured cells, 10 ⁴ -10 ⁸ thymus tissue, 25-50 mg mouse tail, 25-50 mg yeast, 10 ⁸ cells bacteria, 10 ⁸ cells FFPE tissue sections, 25-50 mg Dried Blood Spots
	High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit	PCR, modifying, labeling, restriction digestion reaction, agarose gel slice
	High Pure PCR Cleanup Micro Kit	PCR, modifying, labeling, restriction digestion reaction, agarose gel slices
	High Pure Plasmid Isolation Kit	<i>E. coli</i> XL1 blue, pUC19 (2 ml) <i>E. coli</i> HB 101, pUC19 (2 ml) <i>E. coli</i> DH5α, pUC19 (2 ml)
Silica Adsorption	High Pure RNA Isolation Kit	blood, 200-500 µl cultured cells, 10 ⁸ yeast, 10 ⁸ cells bacteria, 10 ⁸ cells
	High Pure RNA Tissue Kit	tissue, 1-10 mg
	High Pure miRNA Isolation Kit	animal tissue, animal cell culture, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue
	High Pure Viral RNA Kit	serum, plasma, urine, supernatant from cell culture, 200-600 µl
	High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit	serum, plasma, blood, supernatant from cell culture,

Virologists did not extract RNA from the alleged "virus" but from a mixture of cells and cell waste: 4/19/21


"RNA extracted from bronchoalveolar-lavage fluid was used as a template to clone and sequence the genome."

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>

BALF - Bronchoalveolar Fluid

Nuremberg 2 Tribunal

The End of Corona Scandal




Virologists did not extract RNA from the "virus" but from a mixture of cells and cell waste.

2. Virologists did not extract RNA from the alleged "virus" but from a mixture of lab made human (cancer) cell waste:

"RNA extracted from culture supernatants was used as a template to clone and sequence the genome."

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>




[4/19/21](#) Mr. Laue: "With the help of molecular genetic methods, specific PCRs or whole genome sequencing, it is now possible to identify pathogens in a mixed sample. The Chinese researchers who first described the SARS-CoV-2 (Zhu et al. 2020

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>) followed this strategy by using the nucleic acids obtained from Covid-19 patients and analyzed them, as well as compared them with samples from non-sick people. They then isolated the virus using cell culture, propagated it and checked it using electron microscopy to determine whether the structure corresponds to a coronavirus. The genetic identity of the isolated virus was then checked again by sequencing and compared with the result from the patient samples. This type of investigation and virus characterization has been repeated many times since then, also in order to investigate the many variants that inevitably arise with prolonged virus circulation. In addition, microscopic detection of the virus in patient tissue was repeatedly achieved independently of one another. Knowledge of the specific composition of the virus genome enables the molecular genetic production of specific nucleic acids

(here: RNA), which are then either directly used for immunization (e.g. Biontech / Moderna vaccines) or indirectly, via integration into the genome of vector viruses (here: adenoviruses ; Astrazeneca, Sputnik) can be used. "

<https://rotekartefuercorona.de/datenbank-detail.php?id=135761603336>

<https://rotekartefuercorona.de/datenbank-detail.php?id=135761603336>



Hello Mr. Laue, thanks for the quick response.

I would be interested in how a virus can be detected if you don't have a purified isolate?

Because then Koch's second postulate is not fulfilled and no specific infection attempts (3rd postulate) can be carried out. I would also be interested in how a test or a vaccine can be specifically developed without this purified-isolated template? Thanks and best regards

"Hello Mr. Laue, I have read your detailed answer carefully and have a few questions:

1.) You write that it is possible to identify pathogens using molecular genetic methods, PCR and genome sequencing. Are these methods also suitable for providing causal evidence for the pathogenicity of a pathogen? The background to my question is a court record from the Ravensburg regional court dated March 12, 2015 (see appendix). The expert appraiser of the court, Prof. Dr. med. Dr. rer. nat. Andreas

Podbielski, Director of the Institute for Medical Microbiology, Virology and Hygiene at the Rostock University Medical Center, states on page 5 that the genome sequence is no evidence of a pathogen, as it is only a computer model with certain probability values. In your opinion, is it possible to prove a causal connection for a pathogen without Koch's postulates? If so, how exactly is causality (experimentally) determined, verified and validated?


2.) You mention the study by Zhu et al. from February 2020 and write that the researchers isolated the virus by cell culture. The author Wenjie Tan answers the question, "Do your electron micrographs show the purified virus?" the following: "[We show] an image of sedimented virus particles, not purified ones." So to my understanding, there is no clean isolate and no control experiment was performed in the study. How is a causal detection of a pathogen possible with this experimental set-up without a control experiment? How can the infection and pathogenicity be proven with the so-called isolation through cell culture?

3.) You write that the specific composition of the virus genome enables the production of vaccines. How and where has it been proven that the composition of the genome is specific and causally related to a certain pathogen? How do you know that the creation of the full genome using a computer model using sequence alignments has modeled a real, pathogenic agent without having checked or verified it experimentally? Thank you very much for answering my questions. "

*Mr. Laue didn't answer.

<https://rotekartefuercorona.de/datenbank-detail.php?id=135761603336>

<https://rotekartefuercorona.de/datenbank-detail.php?id=135761603336>



4/19/21 7 /: it is a computer model experiment, and nothing more, it has been never validated nor isolated.

11 /: And again, nothing was "isolated", it's sequencing magic, that's it.

...no real virus isolates from patients are available, they are theoretical synthetic models. Really End.

<https://threadreaderapp.com/thread/1333737405657059328.html>

The Truth About Virus Isolation 4/19/21

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=huEaH-boaoY>

Dr. Mariano Amici was blocked by FB for a month. Dr. Mariano Amici conducted a control experiment for PCR tests and published scientific evidence that testing has no scientific basis by proving the anti-scientific nature of the corona scandal.

Do not worry! The hoop around the Anti-Scientific scandal is tightening. Dr. Mariano Amici informs the citizens of Italy on a daily basis about the anti-scientific actions of the corona scandal. 4/21/21

<https://www.facebook.com/mariano.amici.5>

<https://www.marianoamici.com/lintervento-del-dottor-mariano-amici-a-le-bocche-della-verita-sabato-17-aprile-a-milano>

Here are the study's statements claiming isolation, alternated with Dr. Kaufman's analysis: 4/22/21

<https://blog.nomorefakenews.com/2021/04/21/isolation-of-sars-cov-2-refuted-in-step-by-step-analysis-of-claim/>

STUDY: “We used Vero CCL-81 cells for isolation and initial passage...”

KAUFMAN: “Vero cells are foreign cells from the kidneys of monkeys and a source of contamination. Virus particles should be purified directly from clinical samples in order to prove the virus actually exists. Isolation means separation from everything else. So how can you separate/isolate a virus when you add it to something else?”

STUDY: “...We cultured Vero E6, Vero CCL-81, HUH 7.0, 293T, A549, and EFKB3 cells in Dulbecco minimal essential medium (DMEM) supplemented with heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (5% or 10%)...”

KAUFMAN: “Why use minimal essential media, which provides incomplete nutrition [to the cells]? Fetal bovine serum is a source of foreign genetic material and extracellular vesicles, which are indistinguishable from viruses.”

STUDY: “...We used both NP and OP swab specimens for virus isolation. For isolation, limiting dilution, and passage 1 of the virus, we pipetted 50 μ L of serum-free DMEM into columns 2–12 of a 96-well tissue culture plate, then pipetted 100 μ L of clinical specimens into column 1 and serially diluted 2-fold across the plate...”

KAUFMAN: “Once again, misuse of the word isolation.”

STUDY: “...We then trypsinized and resuspended Vero cells in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 \times penicillin/streptomycin, 2 \times antibiotics/antimycotics, and 2 \times amphotericin B at a concentration of 2.5×10^5 cells/mL...”

KAUFMAN: “Trypsin is a pancreatic enzyme that digests proteins. Wouldn't that cause damage to the cells and particles in the culture

which have proteins on their surfaces, including the so called spike protein?”

KAUFMAN: “Why are antibiotics added? Sterile technique is used for the culture. Bacteria may be easily filtered out of the clinical sample by commercially available filters (GIBCO) [3]. Finally, bacteria may be easily seen under the microscope and would be readily identified if they were contaminating the sample. The specific antibiotics used, streptomycin and amphotericin (aka ‘ampho-terrible’), are toxic to the kidneys and we are using kidney cells in this experiment! Also note they are used at ‘2X’ concentration, which appears to be twice the normal amount. These will certainly cause damage to the Vero cells.”

STUDY: “...We added [not isolated] 100 μ L of cell suspension directly to the clinical specimen dilutions and mixed gently by pipetting. We then grew the inoculated cultures in a humidified 37°C incubator in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and observed for cytopathic effects (CPEs) daily. We used standard plaque assays for SARS-CoV-2, which were based on SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) protocols...”

STUDY: “When CPEs were observed, we scraped cell monolayers with the back of a pipette tip...”

KAUFMAN: “There was no negative control experiment described. Control experiments are required for a valid interpretation of the results. Without that, how can we know if it was the toxic soup of antibiotics, minimal nutrition, and dying tissue from a sick person which caused the cellular damage or a phantom virus? A proper control would consist of the same exact experiment except that the clinical specimen should come from a person with illness unrelated to covid, such as cancer,

since that would not contain a virus.”

STUDY: “...We used 50 μ L of viral lysate for total nucleic acid extraction for confirmatory testing and sequencing. We also used 50 μ L of virus lysate to inoculate a well of a 90% confluent 24-well plate.”

KAUFMAN: “How do you confirm something that was never previously shown to exist? What did you compare the genetic sequences to? How do you know the origin of the genetic material since it came from a cell culture containing material from humans and all their microflora, fetal cows, and monkeys?”

—end of study quotes and Kaufman analysis—

My comments: Dr. Kaufman does several things here. He shows that isolation, in any meaningful sense of the word “isolation,” is not occurring.

Dr. Kaufman also shows that the researchers want to use damage to the cells and cell-death as proof that “the virus” is in the soup they are creating. In other words, the researchers are assuming that if the cells are dying, it must be the virus that is doing the killing. But Dr. Kaufman shows there are obvious other reasons for cell damage and death that have nothing to do with a virus. Therefore, no proof exists that “the virus” is in the soup or exists at all.

And finally, Dr. Kaufman explains that the claim of genetic sequencing of “the virus” is absurd, because there is no proof that the virus is present. How do you sequence something when you haven’t shown it exists?

After Corona Scandal - The New View on Life 2021 4/22/21

Primstance or primordial substance is the source of life. All life arises from this substance. Humans, plants and animals are not built of water but the primordial substance. Primstance has a higher density than water = 1.4 g / cm³. Water is polar and primstance is hydrophobic. Primstance is like a gel.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3ImwxgDWN88>

SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE - APRIL 21, 2021: 4/22/21

The CPE in the control experiment proves that the CPE is not the result of the alleged "sars-cov-2".

CPE in a control experiment proves that the term infection is anti-scientific:

"Dr. Stefan Lanka's latest control experiments on CPE in SARS-CoV-2 are in and refute the claims of virologists

The first sensational news is ~~here~~ ^{here} The control experiments, which Dr. Stefan Lanka commissioned in a laboratory, confirm that the claimed cytopathic effect, which is considered by all virologists as evidence for SARS-CoV-2, is refuted.


The laboratory confirmed that this effect is not VIRUS SPECIFIC and therefore cannot and must not be claimed as evidence for a disease-causing virus.🔥

The central effect, the death of tissue cells in the test tube, we get the same way, without any infected material.

It is a SHAME that all virologists ignore these control experiments until today and do not perform them."

https://t.me/Corona_Fakten/653





A NEW CHAPTER IN HISTORY: COVID-19 has no scientific basis!
4/22/21

<https://odysee.com/@DeansDanes:1/brennpunkt04:6>

Everyone needs to learn what a CPE is. CPE is the beginning and the end of virology.

SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE: COVID-19 has no scientific basis!




Figure 1: Representative micrographs of the 4 groups of cells at passage 6, Set 2 (from left to right): 1. Healthy Control 1, 2. Control 2, 3. Stressed cells, 4. Stressed cells + yeast RNA. Top row, right panel: 1 day after transfer of supernatant. Bottom row: same cell cultures 5 days after transfer of supernatant.

A NEW CHAPTER IN HISTORY

ODYSEE: 4/22/21

<https://odysee.com/@provetrust:0/Primstance-1:6>

Primstance or primordial substance is the source of life. All life arises from this substance. Humans, plants and animals are not built of water but the primordial substance. Primstance has a higher density than water = 1.4 g / cm^3 . Water is polar and primstance is hydrophobic. Primstance is like a gel.

Source of information: Dr. Stefan Lanka

Video created by Igson Negrin

ODYSEE: The Brain - Cells do not exist 4/23/21

The brain is made up of a primordial substance in which nuclei and mitochondria "swim". There are no cells in the brain because the images under the microscope are just artifacts. Also, don not exist:

phospholipid bilayer, transmembrane proteins, receptors and ion channels. The Golgi apparatus, endoplasmic reticulum, microsomes and ribosomes, 'cytoskeleton', lysosomes do not exist in reality.

<https://odysee.com/@provetrust:0/brainnew:2>